UNCLASSIFIED	X USE	NIER <del>N</del> AL DNLY			CONFIDENTIAL SECRET
•	 OL	ITING	AND	RECOF	RD Sh. T
UBJECT: (Optional)		<u> </u>		.,	·
	·				
ROM:					NO.
DC/SR/DOB (-		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	DATE 201956
): (Officer designation, room i	number, and	ber, and DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from v to whom. Draw a line across column after each comm
		REC'D	FWD′D	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each collin
CSR/7/DOB	,	,	205EP		A TRAGEDY IN 14 ACTS ENTITLED:
SR/COP					"IF IT RAINS" for info.
3. DC/SR				<b>F</b>	The FI Staff normally processes a project in 10 days as compared
4.				_	to the 10 weeks it takes us to
C/SR			17.7		normally process a project thru
5. 5 R/7	् , OCT , 1956	8 1956			our own SR Division Staff.
6. DOB		Capel			1+23
7.	,	7'			1 to 2,3, and 4:
File -					I wish to push pro- tects only as hard on the Division wan
8.					on the Divinion wan
					me to, and I do no
9.	DECLASSII CENTRAI	I E N A P			wind to be come em
					Broiled in what yo
10.					thous criticism of
	NAZIWAR C DATE 2007	N   M C S 1)	BCTORA	RE ACT	thous criticism of
11.	•				of the Division State
12.					However, 9 do bel.
13.					that there are grown
10.					for the attached upo
14.					be brought to you attention.
15.					
					(650)

20 September 1956

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, SR/7/DOB

SUBJECT:

Coordination of AEACRE Project with SR/COP/CE

1. As you are aware and on your order, the undersigned attempted to coordinate the AEACRE Project for FY \*57 with the SR Division Staff in your abscence from 26 August to 10 September. A brief chronology is necessary here.

On 3 September SR/COP/PP signed.

On 4 September SR/COP/CE was asked when he could look at the project. He stated that he could not look at it until Thursday, 6 September. On Thursday, 6 September, however, it was in SR/Admin/Budget and Finance.

On 7 September, Friday, the undersigned recovered the project from SR/Admin and asked GSR/CE if he could read the project on Saturday, 8 September. SR/CE stated that as he had not had it on Thursday, 6 September, he did not know when he could get to it. He stated that if "it rained" on Saturday he might have time for it.

On Monday, 10 September, DC/SR signed the project for CSR.

On Monday, 10 September, the undersigned hand carried the project to CSR/CE.

On 11 September the undersigned asked CSR/CE if he approved the project. CSR/CE idly leafed through the project and spotting a reference to Ukrainian operations said, "They are all Soviet agents anyway and why do you want to get entangled in REDSOX operations with Ukrainians." At this time CSR/CE jocularly asked what I would give him if he signed the project.

On Wednesday, 12 September, the undersigned asked again whether or not the CSR/CE had read and signed the project. Again CSR/CE idly leafed through the project and made some comments to the effect that it cost entirely too much to run useless REDSOX operations.

On Friday, 14 September, after the CSR/CE had had the project for four (4) days, the undersigned asked again whether he had read or signed the project. Discussion now was as to the feasibility of working with Byelo-Russian emigre outfits. There was also some umbrage as to the necessity of including the AECANARY operation in the project. He stated that I had no right to show the project which included the AECANARY operation information to SR/Admin. The CSR/CE again stated if it rained on Saturday he might look at it. At this time, after four days, I asked him to record his objections to this FI project officially or sign it. He refused to do either. Later in the day, on Friday, when the undersigned saw CSR/CE in the hall, he again asked him as totthe status of the project. CSR/CE stated he had "signed one initial to it" and was still thinking about it." It did not rain on Saturday, 15 September.

On Monday, 17 September, with the project already 77 days late, I called DCSR and told him that as CSR/CE did not look as though he was about to sign the project that I was picking it up from his office and was forwarding it to the FI Staff for their approval. In keeping with DC/SR recommendations, I had prepared a briefing for of the FI Staff as DC/SR felt "he" of the FI Staff as DC/SR felt "he" okayed my taking the project from CSR/CE. I gave the project to to hand carry to the FI Staff for their approval without the initials of CSR/CE on the cover sheet but with the concurrence of the DC/SR.

- 2. Now as you know we have good reason for wanting the project to be submitted soonest. They are:
  - a. We have three vehicles out of order which are needed to be turned in. The project must be approved before we can replace them.
  - b. We have completed assessments on two (2) contract agents whom we cannot hire because we do not have approval to do so. This is not bad in itself, but these contract agents recently returned from Germany and we have promised SR/3 and SR/6 to pick them up by 1 October.
  - of the FI/Admin shop was called and stated that as of the 20th of September she will not be able to extend our 8 housekeeping couples salary or contracts as the project has not been approved.
  - d. The AEACRE project provides the funds for all our REDSOX activities including hiring REDSOX spotters as recommended by the last IG report.

e. If it is not approved the information contained therein will be dated.

f. We have been operating on an FI tentative approval

for the first quarter FY '57 which expires on 1 October 1956.

We can't add the 2 necessary househoper it took use lomaths to

3. On 17 September from FI Admin called the find until we

undersigned and told him he had received the project and with

recommendation would speed it on its way. However,

later in the day, on Monday, 17 September, CSR/CE wrathfully

later in the day, on Monday, 17 September, CSR/CE wrathfully reprimanded the undersigned for taking the project back. CSR/CE stated that he was calling it back. I volunteered to give him a copy of it to read. He said the project was going to CI Staff and they would expect him to have read it. My rejoiner was that he could tell them that he had read it after he had seen the copy I would provide him. In a rather childish pique, he picked up the telephone and ordered to send it back. I informed SR/COP/FI of the matter, he made a notation and stated he would take it up at the staff meeting.

- h. On Thursday, 19 September, 9 days after CSR/CE had the project, I saw DC/SR at 1730 hours and informed him of the situation. He saw the humorous aspects of the problem. CSR/CE entered his office while I was there and he stated that the project is now in his hands and he would "get around to reading it." DC/SR stated that he "would give him a couple of days" and then "hit him again on it."
- 5. I am not totally without humor. However, when a case officer in this Division must cajoke a staff official to sign his project; when such coordination depends on the whims or anti-REDSOX caprice of the CSR/CE or still worse on the vagaries of the weather, I feel that CSR should be apprized of the facts. This is an additionally onerous obstruction in view of the fact that we took the time and trouble of coordinating the FI project in rough draft with DC/SR, SR/COP, SR/COP/FI, and SR/Admin before we prepared the final draft. The DOB REDSOX job is beset by enough difficulties and curiously enough it has been hindered and obstructed most by our own SR Division CE elements. Sloppy staff work can be forgiven but this is the crudest type of "wrecking" and obstructionism.
- 6. Thus on Thursday, 20 September, the AEACRE PROJECT still languishes on desk. His secretary informed me at 1400 hours that he had the project recalled and it arrived in his office on Wednesday, 19 September. He is concerned with a lecture this afternoon at OTR and she, his secretary, optimistically feels he might get to it on Friday, 21 September, or Saturday, 22 September, that is "if it rains."

